

## **Minutes of the Ovide meeting in Ankara 2006**

Attendances: Steven Janssens (Leuven), Mike Quickfall (Edinburgh), Stanislav Zelenda (Prague), Yaşar Özden (Ankara), Levent Bayram (Ankara), Dolores Masats Viladoms (Barcelona), Anne Swerts (Leuven), Gilbert Ducos (Toulouse), Pieter Vroegop (Heerlen), Linda Luchtman (Heerlen), Petri Vuorinen (Turku), Kari Sormunen (Joensuu), Eline Noorbergen (Groningen), Jan Folkert Deinum (Groningen).

### **Thursday 23 March 2006**

At Thursday 23 March 2006 the steering committee prepared the agenda of the full meeting.

### **Friday 24 March 2006**

In the morning we discussed several work packages in working groups.

Friday afternoon seven of the ten partners presented the first case study. This took quite some time, because the case studies were discussed very thoroughly. This gave the group ideas about the case study format, the way how the case studies should be presented on the website, how case studies should be done, other possible case studies and technical issues that should be discussed on the website.

### **Saturday 25 March 2006**

We started with discussing case studies of the last three partners.

In the second half of the morning we discussed issues related to work packages in working groups.

During the afternoon we made decisions about the working packages, as a result of the discussions in the working groups (see below).

#### **WP 1 Literature study**

The main focus of this work package will be the review article based on literature study in international scientific journals.

The results of the local literature studies will not be in the first place input for that review, but will be focused on interesting projects and ideas about how to use digital video in teacher education. If in this local literature search an interesting research article will be found, then this will be of course input for the review article.

Dolores Massat will be responsible for the discussion in this work package.

#### **WP 2 Case study format**

On base of the case studies presented (see below) appointments are made about the development of a new case study format that can be used to get the right information for the website. Steven Janssens will be responsible for the discussion in this work package.

#### **WP 3 Case studies**

During the meeting all partners have presented a draft case study. Most of these studies are in a preliminary face and are intentions to work at, mostly after Summer 2006. In Barcelona we will try to present at least 6 finished case studies. In Barcelona all partners will present a draft version of a second case study.

We decided a case should focused on the teacher educator who uses digital video for the benefit of the learning process of his students. A student can be a teacher trainee, but also another teacher educator.

#### **WP 4 Generic principles**

We did not made appointments yet, because this WP will be done later in the project. Eline Noorbergen will be responsible for the discussion in this work package.

## **WP 5 Technical issues**

Appointments are made about how to develop this part of the website. We discussed that technical issues should not be meant to much “technical”. It is not meant for “how to use the buttons” of a certain program or “how to use a Sony XX camera”. But it is meant for “How to record sound in a classroom?”, “What kind of programs can I use for editing?” etc.

Furthermore under this work package also Legal issues and Ethical situations should be mentioned and described.

Petri Vuorinen will be responsible for the discussion in this project.

## **WP 6 Website**

We decided to host the website in Ankara. Furthermore we decided the website can be based on a database, but that it is important to give the website a “sexy” look. The focus of the website will be teacher educators in Europe. However, at this stage in the project it is also important to present ourselves as a project. This will probably mean that during the project the website will change from a “project” website to a “use this” website for teacher educators.

Yasar Özden will be responsible for the discussion in this work package

## **WP 7 Dissemination**

There was no time to discuss this anymore. However, before the Barcelona meeting each partner should write a dissemination plan for his country.

Groningen will write a dissemination plan on European level before June 1, 2006.

Jan Folkert Deinum is responsible for the discussion in this work package.

## **WP 8 Evaluation**

Groningen and Joensuu will write an evaluation plan before June 1, 2006.

Kari Sormunen is responsible for the discussion in this work package.

## **WP 10 meetings**

The 2006 meetings will be:

- Barcelona
  - 5 October 2006                      Steering committee
  - 6-7 October 2006                    Full meeting
- Joensuu
  - 15 March 2007                        Steering committee
  - 16-17 March 2007                    Full meeting

All meetings will start at 9.00 h and end at 17.30 h (approximately).